

**Report of the Standing Committee on
Administration and Finance (SCAF)**

Contents

	Page
Opening of meeting	179
Annual Financial Statements	179
Examination of Audited Financial Statements for 2016.....	179
Appointment of auditor	179
Secretariat matters	179
Executive Secretary's Report.....	179
Report of the CCAMLR Intersessional Correspondence Group on Sustainable Financing (ICG-SF)	181
Tasks advanced during 2016/17	181
Review of the contributions formula in other organisations	182
Preliminary review of the CCAMLR contributions formula	182
Options for sustainable financing	182
Working Capital Fund	183
Funding of conveners	183
Information required by CM 21-03, Annex 21-03/A.....	184
ICG-SF future work.....	184
Budgets	184
Review of 2017 Budget	184
Draft Budget for 2018	185
Forecast Budget for 2019	185
Other business	186
Global Environment Facility (GEF) Project.....	186
Headquarters accommodation	187
Performance review	187
Collateralised debt obligation investments	187
Report adoption	187
Close of the meeting	187
Appendix I: Revised budget for the year ended 31 December 2017	188
Appendix II: Draft budget for the year ended 31 December 2018.....	189
Appendix III: Forward estimate for the year ended 31 December 2019	190
Appendix IV: Members' contributions 2017, 2018, 2019	191

Report of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF)

Opening of meeting

1. Dr C. Jones (USA), serving as interim Chair of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF) for its 2017 meeting, facilitated discussions on Item 4 of the Commission's agenda.

Annual Financial Statements

Examination of Audited Financial Statements for 2016

2. Consistent with Financial Regulation 11.1, a full audit of the 2016 Financial Statements was completed in early 2017 (see COMM CIRC 17/37). The audit had identified no incidents of non-compliance with Financial Regulations or International Accounting Standards. SCAF accepted the Financial Statements as presented in CCAMLR-XXXVI/03 and recommended these be accepted by the Commission.

Appointment of auditor

3. SCAF recommended the appointment of the Australian National Audit Office (ANAO) as auditor for the 2017 and 2018 financial statements.

Secretariat matters

Executive Secretary's Report

4. The Executive Secretary introduced CCAMLR-XXXVI/05, noting his report included:

- a third-year implementation report for the Secretariat's Strategic Plan (2015–2018)
- the sixth annual implementation report on the Secretariat's Staffing and Salary Strategy
- a basis for the assessment of the Executive Secretary's performance (CCAMLR-XXI, paragraph 3.13)
- addressing the requirement to report on data-related activities and measures taken to maintain the integrity of CCAMLR data (SC-CAMLR-XVI, paragraph 10.14).

5. SCAF noted that the Implementation Report for the Strategic Plan, and companion documents, provided a valuable resource for Members to keep apprised of the work of the Secretariat since the last annual meeting. SCAF complimented the Secretariat on the work undertaken during the last intersessional period in support of the Commission and Scientific Committee.

6. The Executive Secretary summarised the process and outcomes of a restructure of Secretariat data and information functions and responsibilities that had been undertaken during 2017. The restructure involved the merging of the previous Data Services with Information and Communications Technology to a new Information Systems and Data Services (ISDS) section and the transfer of a position focused on data processing related to fisheries from (the former) Data Service section to the Fishery Monitoring and Compliance section of the Secretariat and fishery monitoring responsibilities to Fishery Monitoring and Compliance, previously undertaken by Data Services. The Executive Secretary advised that the restructure would lead to improved efficiencies in relation to the use of available Secretariat resources, increased technical engagement with users across all CCAMLR data and information, increased integration of all CCAMLR data, an unambiguous focus on information systems and data service responsibilities in the Secretariat and increased rigor around strategic planning to support information and data processes, including in relation to data quality, data products, web-based data services, data documentation and user requirements.

7. While it was acknowledged that personnel matters are sensitive, SCAF noted the explanations by the Executive Secretary and expressed disappointment that the Commission had not been consulted ahead of the restructure. Some Members expressed concern that the reorganisation alters the Commission-endorsed staff establishment in the Staffing and Salary Strategy, without the Commission's approval. Australia noted that there needs to be a balance between the role of the Commission and the ability of the Executive Secretary to administer the Secretariat. The Russian Federation advised that the administration of the Secretariat should not be contrary to the decisions adopted by the Commission.

8. SCAF recommended that the scheduled review of the Secretariat's Strategic Plan be used as an opportunity for the incoming Executive Secretary, in consultation with the Commission, to review the Strategic Plan and companion documents, including consideration of any ambiguities in the Staff Regulations. SCAF confirmed that Secretariat structure and functions need to reflect that sound data and information services are core business for CCAMLR and that the Secretariat structure must not compromise the scientific expertise and services that the Commission and Scientific Committee have relied on from the Secretariat since 1982. The USA expressed concern about the apparent loss of senior-level science expertise in the ISDS section as a result of the International Professional Officer post being replaced by a new data liaison position at a level that may not garner the science expertise needed for CCAMLR data management.

9. The Executive Secretary confirmed that the post previously titled Data Manager was currently undesignated and available for reassignment within the Secretariat. Funding had been provided in the provisional budgets for 2018 and 2019 to support an appointment to a post within the Secretariat, at an International Professional classification, subject to a review of staffing requirements that will be undertaken in association with the review of the Strategic Plan in 2018 should it be deemed necessary. In response to a question from a Member, the Executive Secretary noted that, should the position remain unfilled, it would lead to savings of around AU\$150 000 per year. Some Members encouraged the Commission to carefully review data and information services during the upcoming review of the Strategic Plan, including consideration of reassigning the vacant International Professional Officer post to data and information services.

10. In relation to the Staffing and Salary Strategy (CCAMLR-XXXVI/05, Attachment B) the Executive Secretary noted that the Secretariat was not aware of any major developments in

Australian work place practice since CCAMLR-XXXV that required the attention of the Commission. He advised that the Secretariat monitored developments in relation to the terms and conditions in the identified comparator agency in the Australian Public Service, the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD), and noted that CCAMLR's Staff Regulations and the Staffing and Salary Strategy acknowledge a broad, rather than complete, harmony with the AAD. He noted that the Department of Environment and Energy, which includes the AAD, had negotiated an Enterprise Agreement that came into effect in late 2016 and that the last review of the alignment of CCAMLR Secretariat General Services staff terms and conditions with those of the comparator agency had taken place in May 2016. He reported that an analysis of differences in terms and conditions under the most recent Enterprise Agreement was currently being undertaken.

11. SCAF also noted that there had recently been some revisions to the United Nations common system of salaries, allowances and benefits referred to in the Staff Regulations in respect of the international posts in the Secretariat. The Secretariat was requested to provide a review of the implications of these changes to Members as part of the forthcoming Strategic Plan review. SCAF also noted the strategic review would be an opportunity to consider the overall balance of international and local positions within the Secretariat.

12. SCAF reaffirmed that the current format and scope of reporting on the implementation of the Strategic Plan should be maintained for future reports.

Report of the CCAMLR Intersessional Correspondence Group on Sustainable Financing (ICG-SF)

13. The Secretariat, as Convener of an open-ended informal correspondence group established by the Commission (CCAMLR-XXXI, Annex 7, paragraph 13), presented a progress report (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11) on intersessional consultations to further evaluate income-generating and cost-saving options (CCAMLR-XXXIII, Annex 7, paragraphs 14 and 15).

Tasks advanced during 2016/17

14. In the 2016/17 intersessional period, utilising the e-group facility, the following tasks in relation to the work endorsed at CCAMLR-XXXV (CCAMLR-XXXV, paragraph 4.1) were advanced:

- (i) Intersessional Correspondence Group on Sustainable Financing (ICG-SF) consideration of the priorities for the 2016/17 intersessional period (<https://groups.ccamlr.org/icg-sf/node/775>, CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment A)
- (ii) review of the contributions formula in other organisations (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment B)
- (iii) preliminary review of the CCAMLR contributions formula (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment C)
- (iv) options for sustainable financing (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment D)

- (v) Working Capital Fund (WCF) considerations (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment E)
- (vi) funding of conveners (CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment F).

Review of the contributions formula in other organisations

15. SCAF noted the review of the contributions formula for 10 fisheries conservation and management organisations and expressed appreciation to the Secretariat for the work undertaken. SCAF proposed that, as CCAMLR is not a regional fishery management organisation (RFMO), future work include a review of assessed contribution arrangements that apply in other non-fisheries bodies, and in this respect reference was made to the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting (ATCM) and the International Whaling Commission (IWC).

Preliminary review of the CCAMLR contributions formula

16. SCAF recalled that the possibility of a review of the Members' contribution formula was first considered in 2013 and was subsequently tentatively scheduled for work during the 2016/17 intersessional period (refer CCAMLR-XXXII/24 and CCAMLR-XXXV, Annex 7, paragraph 10). SCAF thanked the Secretariat for the considerable amount of work undertaken during 2016/17 to provide Members with detailed information that is required to support review of the assessed contributions formula, the outcomes of which potentially have significant implications for all Members.

17. SCAF noted that the analysis focussed on the harvest component of the formula which, on average over the seven-year period (2011–2016), accounted for 4.5% of total contributions to the General Fund by an average of 15 Members that fished. Based on the analysis presented to CCAMLR-XXXV last year (CCAMLR-XXXV, Annex 7, paragraphs 6 to 9 and CCAMLR-XXXV/10), and noting the challenges associated with obtaining accurate estimates of the value of resources harvested in CCAMLR-regulated fisheries, this reflects 0.05% of the estimated annual Gross Value of Product (GVP) from CCAMLR toothfish, krill and icefish fisheries.

18. SCAF agreed that a review of the assessed contributions formula is a complex matter and that it would require more time to progress. SCAF advised that, while a significant amount of work had been completed by the ICG-SF over the last four years in relation to an appraisal of options to reduce costs and secure other revenue streams, these options should continue to be thoroughly evaluated in parallel to a review of the assessed contributions formula. Some Members expressed the view that changes to the assessed contributions formula should be a last resort, particularly in light of the current financial situation.

Options for sustainable financing

19. Noting Recommendation 29 from the Second Performance Review Panel Report, SCAF expressed appreciation to the Secretariat for the significant work it had undertaken to review options for further reducing organisational costs and exploring options for revenue generation.

SCAF endorsed the view of the Secretariat that, had no action been undertaken during the last six years to reduce costs, Members would have been required to either consider increases in assessed contributions or contemplate a reduction in Secretariat services. In this regard, SCAF was appreciative of the work undertaken and sees value in this work continuing.

Working Capital Fund

20. As agreed at CCAMLR-XXXV, SCAF recommended that, as the surplus in the General Fund currently serves as a reserve fund, the ICG-SF examine options for establishing an appropriate reserve fund, such as a WCF, for the Commission. The ICG-SF was requested to consider an appropriate balance to be maintained in such a Fund, any implications for the Financial Regulations, its administration and the relationship between the WCF and the General Fund, among other considerations (CCAMLR-XXXV, Annex 7, paragraph 35).

21. SCAF noted that the Commission established a Contingency Fund in 2001 which can be drawn on without recourse to a prior decision by the Commission at its annual meeting. In 2006, the Commission reaffirmed that the Contingency Fund balance is to be maintained at A\$110 000 which represents less than two weeks of normal operations for CCAMLR. The Contingency Fund is intended to support necessary expenditure which has not yet been specifically authorised by the Commission.

22. SCAF accepted that the balance of the Contingency Fund and its limited range of uses does not provide the Commission with a WCF. Since its establishment in 2001, there has been no situation necessitating use of the Contingency Fund for either unforeseen or extraordinary expenses.

23. SCAF was open to consider a WCF and commented provisionally on the draft guidelines outlined in CCAMLR-XXXVI/11, Attachment E. It agreed that a WCF increases an organisation's ability to absorb or respond to temporary changes in its environment or circumstances. It considered that the establishment of a WCF is an indicator of good financial management, governance and strategic planning.

24. SCAF agreed that the establishment of a WCF is desirable in principle, and agreed to continue its consideration of the current proposal. The purpose of the WCF should be clearly defined, including circumstances in which the Secretariat could draw down funds without approval of the Commission. Members noted the suggested cap of eight months of Secretariat operating expenses and suggested it should be significantly lower than this. SCAF agreed further intersessional work should be undertaken, utilising the ICG.

Funding of conveners

25. SCAF considered the Scientific Committee's request to financially support conveners to working group meetings. SCAF supported the intention of this proposal, i.e. to support a wider range of Members acting as conveners, but noted the significant cost of the proposal was a matter that should be considered by the ICG-SF. SCAF advised that numerous options could be evaluated in further consideration of this request, including the possibility of providing partial funding support (e.g. airfares only) and providing special consideration to particular

CCAMLR Member States and establishing a special fund through voluntary donations for this purpose. SCAF advised that the matter required further consideration and that this would be taken up by the ICG-SF in the next intersessional period.

Information required by CM 21-03, Annex 21-03/A

26. SCAF noted that the current information provided by Members concerning the quantities and values for different products for krill fishing at the time of notification (CM 21-03, Annex 21-03/A) is of limited use for the purposes of assessing the economic value of the krill fishery (CCAMLR-XXXV, Annex 7, paragraph 10i). This is because a krill fishery notification:

- (i) only requires an expected level of catch
- (ii) does not require a value for the product types described.

In addition, there is currently no mechanism to collect and verify the landed value for each product type.

ICG-SF future work

27. SCAF recommended that the ICG-SF continue during the 2017/18 intersessional period to further consider:

- (i) WCF
- (ii) funding of working group conveners to meetings of the Commission and Scientific Committee
- (iii) options to reduce costs and evaluate alternative revenue generation options
- (iv) another review of the Special Funds, particularly those that had been dormant for a significant period of time
- (v) the assessed contribution formula as a matter for future work.

Budgets

Review of 2017 Budget

28. SCAF endorsed the 2017 budget as revised (Appendix I). It noted that, although there was a positive impact resulting from the introduction of fees for all fisheries notifications (CCAMLR-XXXIV, Annex 7, paragraphs 19 to 26), a deficit of A\$104 500 was forecast which, if realised, will reduce the anticipated balance of the General Fund at 31 December 2017 to A\$1 886 709.

29. The Secretariat reported that, since the circulation of the financial papers to Members on 16 August 2017, 60 days in advance of CCAMLR-XXXVI as required under the Financial

Regulations, Argentina, China, Sweden and Uruguay paid their 2017 assessed contributions. Since that date, Namibia had paid its 2016 assessed contribution but its 2017 assessed contribution is still outstanding. SCAF noted that an amount of A\$7 578 was outstanding in respect of Ukraine.

Draft Budget for 2018

30. SCAF recalled the Commission's decision to maintain Members' contributions at the 2014 and 2015 levels through to 2017 (CCAMLR-XXXIV, paragraph 4.7). The forecast of the budget for 2018 (Appendix II) is based upon the continued application of the Commission's policy of zero-real growth for the calculation of the equal share of Members' contributions (CCAMLR-XXXV, Appendix 7, paragraph 30).

31. Belgium and Germany noted their domestic policy is that the budgets of international organisations be zero nominal growth. Belgium also advised that this should be applied for the preparation of the 2019 (Appendix III) and subsequent budgets.

32. SCAF endorsed a proposal submitted by the Standing Committee on Implementation and Compliance (SCIC), which had been endorsed by the CDS Panel, for A\$154 000 to support trade data analysis over two years, 2018–2019.

33. SCAF considered a request from the Scientific Committee for A\$53 000 to fund an independent review of CASAL assessments in 2018. In endorsing the request, SCAF noted that the initiative should be partially funded from the balance in the Scientific Multi-year Fund. It advised that the balance in the Scientific Multi-year Fund be transferred to the General Fund, that the Scientific Multi-year Fund be closed and that the funding to support the independent review come from the General Fund.

34. It was also noted that the ICG-SF had considered holding all mid-year meetings in Hobart as a means to reduce the costs of Secretariat support to intersessional working group meetings. It was noted that the Scientific Committee felt strongly that the options for extended engagement and CCAMLR outreach provided by sharing the mid-year working group meetings among CCAMLR Members was invaluable and should be maintained. SCAF endorsed this view and agreed that funding would continue to be provided to support the travel of Secretariat staff to mid-year working group meetings convened outside of Hobart.

35. The draft budget for 2018 and associated schedule of assessed contributions (Appendix IV), is recommended for adoption by the Commission.

Forecast Budget for 2019

36. SCAF noted the revised forecast budget for 2019 as presented in Appendix III. The 2019 budget is indicative only.

Other business

Global Environment Facility (GEF) Project

37. SCAF noted that Chile, India, Namibia, South Africa and Ukraine had been working with the Secretariat and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) since 2010 to prepare a submission to the Global Environment Facility (GEF) for funding to support capacity building for these Members in CCAMLR (SC-CAMLR-XXIX, Annex 6, paragraphs 6.1 to 6.3; SC-CAMLR-XXIX, paragraph 17.2; SC-CAMLR-XXXIII, paragraph 10.30; SC-CAMLR-XXXIV, paragraph 10.30; SC-CAMLR-XXXV, Annex 6, paragraph 7.4; SC-CAMLR-XXXV/BG/22 and SC-CAMLR-XXXV, paragraphs 14.9 to 14.11). The project was finally approved for inclusion in the GEF work program at its May 2017 Council meeting. The four-year project is budgeted at approximately US\$6 million of grant funds from the GEF.

38. Noting that the GEF has made available US\$200 000 to assist with the design of the project, under what is termed a Project Preparatory Grant (PPG) and that the design task was required to be completed within 18 months of the GEF Council approval (i.e. by November 2018), SCAF considered that there were many questions on the proposal and in particular requested information on: (i) the proposed schedule for the drafting of the Project Document and opportunities for CCAMLR Members to review that document prior to finalisation, (ii) the role, financial and resource implications for the Secretariat, (iii) the role and implications for other CCAMLR Members (in particular, the requirement for in-kind contributions), the Scientific Committee and the Commission, (iv) institutional implications for the Antarctic Treaty System, and (v) relationships to other international organisations.

39. SCAF noted the importance of capacity building and the valuable outcomes that this project seeks to achieve. SCAF noted that, although status reports had been presented to the Scientific Committee, this was the first time that the project had been tabled for the Commission's consideration and that, as a consequence, numerous questions needed to be answered at this year's Commission meeting. In considering the implications for the CCAMLR Secretariat, the Executive Secretary noted that the administrative and technical support provided through the project would not be significantly different to such services that any Secretariat to a multilateral organisation such as CCAMLR might be expected to provide its Members. In this case, some Secretariat services may be considered as contributions in kind to the project and so serve as a valuable contribution to project co-financing, which is a critical consideration by the GEF in committing financial resources to a project.

40. The Executive Secretary considered that the necessary co-financing of approximately US\$50 million over four years could be identified relatively easily. At least 80% of the annual Commission budget and similar proportions of the annual budget of 25 CCAMLR Members that is assigned to CCAMLR-related work, including funding allocated to academic institutions in CCAMLR Members with relevance to achieving the objectives of the Convention, might qualify.

41. The Executive Secretary noted that costs for some administrative services may be recoverable from the project. In addition, subject to consultation and agreement among the five participating countries, there may be scope to support a project coordinator who could take responsibility for much of the project administration and coordination responsibilities at no impost to CCAMLR.

42. SCAF noted that the proposal should receive further consideration in the Commission next week.

Headquarters accommodation

43. The Executive Secretary reported that the Australian federal government and the Tasmanian state government had successfully negotiated a five-year plus five-year extension to the current lease for 181 Macquarie Street. SCAF expressed appreciation to the Tasmanian and Australian governments for successfully finalising accommodation arrangements for the Secretariat beyond the expiry of the current lease in June 2020.

Performance review

44. At the invitation of the Chair of the Commission, SCAF undertook a preliminary review of the recommendations associated with the Second Performance Review Report that directly relate to SCAF. SCAF endorsed Recommendation 29. With respect to Recommendation 28, SCAF noted that it was the Commission, working with the incoming Executive Secretary, that would seek to give effect to Recommendation 28 in the scheduled review of the Secretariat's Strategic Plan in 2018. It looked forward to receiving a report, at its next meeting, of an assessment of additional cost-reduction and revenue-generating options to support the sustainable financing of the organisation.

Collateralised debt obligation investments

45. The Executive Secretary advised that efforts to recover additional funds from the failed collateralised debt obligation investments, made prior to 2010, were ongoing with current negotiations focussed on ratings agencies (refer to CCAMLR-XXXV, Annex 7, paragraph 39).

Report adoption

46. The Report of SCAF, including its recommendations and advice to the Commission, was adopted.

Close of the meeting

47. SCAF noted, with concern, that it did not have a Chair for the 2018 meeting. The Commission was encouraged to give this matter consideration at the earliest opportunity.

48. SCAF thanked the Chair for his efficient stewardship and effective management of the meeting.

49. The Chair extended his appreciation for the cooperation and productive engagement of all Members and the professional support of the Secretariat.

50. The Chair declared the meeting closed.

Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources
Revised Budget for the Year Ended 31 December 2017

	General Fund adopted 2016	General Fund Revised	Equity Funds					Special Funds										Total	
			Asset Replacement Fund	New & Expl'y Fisheries Fund	Staff Replacement Fund	Korean Contribution Fund	Contingency	Observer	VMS	CDS	Compliance	MPA	Scientific Multi Year	Enforcement	General Science Capacity	CEMP	Performance Review 2		
	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	
Income																			
Members' General Fund Contributions	3 272 000	3 272 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 272 000
Members' Special Contributions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interest	170 000	155 000	0	0	0	0	0	3 300	400	34 000	800	400	0	400	2 000	24 000	0	0	220 300
Staff Assessment Levy	540 000	520 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	520 000
Fund transfers	90 000	220 000	0	0	0	(12 320)	(220 000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12 320	0	0
Sales (Tagging)	30 000	30 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30 000
Miscellaneous Income	394 000	426 000	25 000	393 000	0	0	215 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55 977	1 114 977
Total Income	4 496 000	4 623 000	25 000	393 000	0	(12 320)	(5 000)	3 300	400	34 000	800	400	0	400	2 000	24 000	68 297	5 157 277	
Expenditure																			
Salaries and Allowances	3 176 000	3 157 000	0	393 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 550 000
Equipment	200 000	200 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200 000
Insurance and Maintenance	230 000	230 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	230 000
Training	15 000	15 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 000
Meeting Facilities	345 000	345 000	4 444	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	349 444
Travel	180 000	160 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45 000	0	0	0	205 000
Printing and Copying	15 000	15 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 000
Communications	44 000	42 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42 000
Sundry	140 000	140 000	0	0	0	100 000	0	0	0	101 000	0	0	0	0	0	80 000	44 000	0	465 000
Rent/COGS	423 500	423 500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	423 500
Total Expenditure	4 768 500	4 727 500	4 444	393 000	0	(100 000)	0	0	0	101 000	0	0	0	0	45 000	80 000	44 000	5 494 944	
Surplus/(Deficit)	(272 500)	(104 500)	20 556	0	0	(112 320)	(5 000)	3 300	400	(67 000)	800	400	0	400	(43 000)	(56 000)	24 297	(337 667)	
Balance at 1 January 2017	1 666 281	1 991 209	318 903	363 920	135 846	402 340	315 000	134 207	16 862	1 745 005	31 306	68 586	25 219	14 280	223 363	757 468	0	6 543 514	
Balance at 31 December 2017	1 393 781	1 886 709	339 459	363 920	135 846	290 020	310 000	137 507	17 262	1 678 005	32 106	68 986	25 219	14 680	180 363	701 468	24 297	6 205 847	

Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources
Draft Budget for the Year Ended 31 December 2018

	General Fund	Equity Funds					Special Funds							Total		
		Asset Replacement Fund	New & Expl'y Fisheries Fund	Staff Replacement Fund	Korean Contribution Fund	Contingency	Observer	VMS	CDS	Compliance	MPA	Scientific Multi Year	Enforcement		General Science Capacity	CEMP
	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$
Income																
Members' General Fund Contributions	3 349 500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 349 500
Members' Special Contributions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interest	160 000	0	0	0	0	0	2 750	345	32 560	642	1 380	0	294	2 607	10 529	211 108
Staff Assessment Levy	530 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	530 000
Fund transfers	240 219	0	0	0	0	(215 000)	0	0	0	0	0	(25 219)	0	0	0	0
Sales (Tagging)	30 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30 000
Miscellaneous Income	405 000	25 000	374 000	0	0	200 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 004 000
Total Income	4 714 719	25 000	374 000	0	0	(15 000)	2 750	345	32 560	642	1 380	(25 219)	294	2 607	10 529	5 124 608
Expenditure																
Salaries and Allowances – Revised	3 303 500	0	374 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 677 500
Equipment	210 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	210 000
Insurance and Maintenance	240 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240 000
Training	17 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17 000
Meeting Facilities	350 000	4 444	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	354 444
Travel	180 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50 000	0	230 000
Printing and Copying	15 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 000
Communications	45 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45 000
Sundry	143 000	0	0	71 000	100 000	0	0	0	258 500	0	0	0	0	0	180 000	752 500
Rent/COGS	433 500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	433 500
Total Expenditure	4 937 000	4 444	374 000	71 000	100 000	0	0	0	258 500	0	0	0	0	50 000	180 000	5 974 944
Surplus/(Deficit)	(222 281)	20 556	0	(71 000)	(100 000)	(15 000)	2 750	345	(225 940)	642	1 380	(25 219)	294	(47 393)	(169 471)	(850 336)
Balance at 1 January 2018	1 886 709	339 459	363 920	135 846	290 020	310 000	137 507	17 262	1 678 005	32 106	68 986	25 219	14 680	180 363	701 468	6 181 550
Balance at 31 December 2018	1 664 428	360 015	363 920	64 846	190 020	295 000	140 257	17 607	1 452 065	32 748	70 366	0	14 974	132 970	531 997	5 141 194

Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources
Forward Estimate for the Year Ended 31 December 2019

	General Fund	Equity Funds					Special Funds							Total		
		Asset Replacement Fund	New & Exply Fisheries Fund	Staff Replacement Fund	Korean Contribution Fund	Contingency	Observer	VMS	CDS	Compliance	MPA	Scientific Multi Year	Enforcement		General Science Capacity	CEMP
	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$	A\$
Income																
Members' General Fund Contributions	3 428 405	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 428 405
Members' Special Contributions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interest	150 000	0	0	0	0	0	2 805	352	29 041	655	1 407	0	299	2 659	10 640	197 860
Staff Assessment Levy	540 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	540 000
Fund transfers	200 000	0	0	0	0	(200 000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sales (Tagging)	30 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30 000
Miscellaneous Income	412 000	25 000	374 000	0	0	200 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 011 000
Total Income	4 760 405	25 000	374 000	0	0	0	2 805	352	29 041	655	1 407	0	299	2 659	10 640	5 207 265
Expenditure																
Salaries and Allowances	3 410 500	0	374 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 784 500
Equipment	210 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	210 000
Insurance and Maintenance	245 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	245 000
Training	18 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18 000
Meeting Facilities	355 000	4 444	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	359 444
Travel	180 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30 000	0	210 000
Printing and Copying	15 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 000
Communications	46 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46 000
Sundry	90 000	0	0	0	50 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	140 000
Rent/COGS	444 500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	444 500
Total Expenditure	5 014 000	4 444	374 000	0	50 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30 000	0	5 472 444
Surplus/(Deficit)	(253 595)	20 556	0	0	(50 000)	0	2 805	352	29 041	655	1 407	0	299	(27 341)	10 640	(265 179)
Balance at 1 January 2019	1 664 428	360 015	363 920	64 846	190 020	295 000	140 257	17 607	1 452 065	32 748	70 366	0	14 974	132 970	531 997	5 331 214
Balance at 31 December 2019	1 410 833	380 571	363 920	64 846	140 020	295 000	143 062	17 959	1 481 106	33 403	71 773	0	15 273	105 630	542 637	4 926 014

Members' Contributions 2017, 2018, 2019
 General Fund Contributions – Payable by 31 May
 (all amounts in Australian dollars)

Member	Contributions 2017	Balance Outstanding 16 August 2017	Draft Contributions 2018	Forecast Contributions 2019
Argentina	123 942	124 154.00	126 628	129 812
Australia	138 730		141 923	145 369
Belgium	123 942		126 628	129 812
Brazil	123 942	248 529.00	126 628	129 812
Chile	128 859		131 110	133 514
China	141 196	141 196.00	149 091	154 397
European Union	123 942		126 628	129 812
France	150 246		154 088	158 091
Germany	123 942		126 628	129 812
India	123 942	123 942.00	126 628	129 812
Italy	123 942		126 628	129 812
Japan	124 942		127 628	130 812
Korea, Republic of	145 613		145 106	143 701
Namibia	123 942	248 443.00	126 628	129 812
New Zealand	129 227		131 626	134 881
Norway	187 951		196 361	200 003
Poland	123 942		126 628	129 812
Russia	126 187		129 486	132 880
South Africa	125 254		128 081	131 393
Spain	125 521		128 209	131 427
Sweden	123 942	124 194.00	126 628	129 812
Ukraine	128 200	290 810.24	131 843	135 322
UK	131 770		134 315	137 449
USA	123 942		126 628	129 812
Uruguay	124 942	104 995.00	127 725	131 234
Total	3 272 000	1 406 263.24	3 349 500	3 428 405