

**Selection and evaluation criteria to be used by the Commission
for assessing applications for capacity building**

1. Needs

- (i) A Member that is two or more years in arrears shall be ineligible for funding.
- (ii) Applications that are eligible for funding from another CCAMLRL fund will be ineligible for funding from the General Capacity Building Fund¹.
- (iii) Does the project address a **need** that is identified in the guidelines, Attachment 1?
- (iv) Does the proposal make it clear that the Member has this specific need?
- (v) Will the project adequately address the need, and improve the capacity of the beneficiary to assist in fulfilling its obligations under the Convention, and participate effectively in the work of the organisation?
- (vi) Assessment of applications will also consider positively whether the Member has a low existing engagement in CCAMLRL's work, measured, for instance and where relevant, by the following:
 - (a) low number of papers submitted per year
 - (b) low infrastructure, including bases a Member has in Antarctica
 - (c) small size of delegation at each CCAMLRL meeting.
- (vii) Has the applicant articulated the reason(s) the project will not proceed without assistance from the Fund?
- (viii) Is a letter of support from Member(s) attached?

2. Projects/activities and objectives

- (i) Are the approach, methods, outcomes and objectives clearly set out?
- (ii) Does the project address the wider objectives of the Fund (guidelines, paragraph 1) to:
 - (a) create confidence and ability for Members to achieve the objectives of the Convention
 - (b) improve Members' ability to contribute to the work of the Commission and the Scientific Committee, their subsidiary bodies and the Secretariat
 - (c) improve the overall system of operation of CCAMLRL
 - (d) support the needs of individual Members

- (e) increase knowledge sharing and expertise between CCAMLR Members emphasising the value of cooperation?
 - (iii) Does the application seek to apply one of the identified projects/activities (guidelines, paragraph 2(v)(a)–(e))? If not, is this new project/activity justified in terms of addressing the identified need?
 - (iv) Will the project benefit more than just the beneficiary? Does capacity building target a number of Members across a wide geographical area (guidelines, paragraph 13)?
 - (v) Is maximum use made of other existing organisations, either at regional or national level, to coordinate and assist with capacity development?
3. Costs and capacity
- (i) Is the project value for money; are the proposed costs of the activity reasonable and in proportion to the likely benefits?
 - (ii) Has the applicant received prior support from the Fund? If so, was the activity successful?
 - (iii) Does the applicant have the demonstrated capacity to benefit fully from the project and ensure the outputs are fully utilised?
4. Outcomes
- (i) How will the success of the intervention be measured?
 - (ii) Is there provision for disseminating information on the project's activities and results to CCAMLR and an appropriate range of stakeholders?

The table below shows how the above criteria will be applied by the Panel:

Member ³ :			
Project title:			
Funding sought:			
Evaluation criteria	Yes/No	Weight	Score ⁴ (between 1 and 5, or scores in between)
Is the application from a Member which is two years or more in arrears in their contribution? If 'yes' the Member is ineligible for funding from the Fund ¹ .			If 'yes' the application is ineligible for funding
Can the application be funded through another CCAMLR fund?			If 'yes', the application should be transferred to the relevant fund for consideration
Is the applicant unable to carry out the project without assistance from the Fund?		3	
Does the Member have a low existing engagement in CCAMLR (e.g. low number of papers produced per year, limited infrastructure (research platforms, fishing vessels, bases in Antarctica), small size of delegation to CCAMLR)?		2	
Does the project address a need that is identified in guidelines, Attachment 1? Does the proposal make it clear that the Member has this specific need?		3	
Will the project adequately address the need, and improve the capacity of the beneficiary to assist in fulfilling its obligations under the Convention, and participate effectively in the work of the organisation?		2	
Does the project address the wider objectives of the Fund (guidelines, paragraph 1)?		3	
Are the approach, methods outcomes and objectives clearly set out?		2	
Does the application seek to apply one of the identified projects/activities (guidelines, paragraph 2v)? If not, is this new project/activity justified in terms of addressing the identified need?		2	
Will the project benefit more than just the beneficiary? Does capacity building target a number of Members across a wide geographical area (guidelines, paragraph 9)		1	
Is the project value for money; are the proposed costs of the activity reasonable and in proportion to the likely benefits?		3	
Is maximum use made of other existing organisations, either at regional or national level, to coordinate and assist with capacity development?		1	
Needs evaluation score ⁵			

³ Includes Acceding States or non-Contracting Parties cooperating with the Catch Documentation Scheme for *Dissostichus* spp., where an application has the support of a Member.

⁴ Where 1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent.

⁵ An application must score over 60% of the total possible score in order to be considered.