

**FISHERY REPORT: EXPLORATORY FISHERY
FOR *DISSOSTICHUS* SPP. IN DIVISION 58.4.3a**

CONTENTS

	Page
1. Details of the fishery	1
1.1 Reported catch	2
1.2 IUU catch	2
1.3 Size distribution of catches	2
2. Stocks and areas	3
3. Parameter estimation	3
3.1 Observations	3
3.2 Fixed parameter values	6
4. Stock assessment	6
5. By-catch of fish and invertebrates	7
5.1 By-catch removals	7
5.2 Assessment of impacts on affected populations	7
5.3 Identification of levels of risk	7
5.4 Mitigation measures	7
6. By-catch of birds and mammals	8
6.1 By-catch removals	8
6.2 Mitigation measures	8
7. Ecosystem implications/effects	9
8. Harvest controls and management advice	9
8.1 Conservation measures	9
8.2 Management advice	9

FISHERY REPORT: EXPLORATORY FISHERY FOR *DISSOSTICHUS* SPP. IN DIVISION 58.4.3a

1. Details of the fishery

The longline fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3 began as a new fishery in 1996/97 (CM 113/XV). Following the Commission's decision that high levels of IUU fishing for *Dissostichus* spp. in the Convention Area had rendered it unrealistic to consider this fishery as 'new' (CCAMLR-XVIII, paragraph 10.14), and renewed interest in this fishery, the fishery was reclassified as exploratory in 2000. That year, the Commission agreed on four exploratory fisheries for *Dissostichus* spp. in this region in 2000/01: exploratory trawl fisheries on BANZARE Bank (CM 203/XIX) and Elan Bank (CM 205/XIX); and exploratory longline fisheries outside areas of national jurisdiction on BANZARE Bank (CM 204/XIX) and Elan Bank (CM 206/XIX).

2. In 2001, the boundaries of Division 58.4.3 were rearranged on the basis of ecological considerations, and two new divisions were formed: Division 58.4.3a (Elan Bank) and Division 58.4.3b (BANZARE Bank) (see Figure 1). The Commission agreed to exploratory fisheries for *Dissostichus* spp. in each of these new divisions, outside areas of national jurisdiction.

3. In 2010/11, the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a was limited to one Japanese vessel using longlines only (CM 41-06). The precautionary catch limit for *Dissostichus* spp. was limited to 86 tonnes. The catch limits for by-catch species were defined in CM 33-03. The fishing season was from 1 May to 31 August 2011. Fishing was permitted outside the prescribed season provided that each vessel demonstrated its capacity to comply with the requirements for longline weighting outlined in CM 24-02. Environmental protection in this fishery is regulated by CMs 22-06, 22-07, 22-08 and 26-01.

4. Three Members (France, Japan and South Africa) and a total of three vessels notified their intention to participate in the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a in 2011/12 and the Working Group recommended the existing limits for this fishery be retained in 2011/12.




Figure 1: General map of Division 58.4.3a (Elan Bank). This division consists of a single SSRU.

1.1 Reported catch

5. Licensed longline vessels have fished the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a since 2004/05, and the target species is *D. eleginoides* (Table 1). In 2010/11, one vessel fished and reported a total catch of 4 tonnes of *D. eleginoides* (Table 1, Figure 1).

Table 1: Catch history for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a (source: STATLANT data for past seasons, and catch and effort reports for current season, WG-FSA-11/10 and past reports for IUU catch).

Season	Regulated fishery						Estimated IUU catch (tonnes)	Total removals (tonnes)
	Effort (number of vessels)		Catch limit (tonnes)	<i>Dissostichus</i> spp.				
	Limit	Reported		Reported catch (tonnes)				
				<i>D. eleginoides</i>	<i>D. mawsoni</i>	Total		
2003/04	6	0	250	0	0	0	-	0
2004/05	3	4	250	97	9	105	98	203
2005/06	4	1	250	88	1	89	0	89
2006/07	3	2	250	3	1	4	0	4
2007/08	1	1	250	9	0	9	0	9
2008/09	1	1	86	31	0	31	0	31
2009/10	3	No fishing	86	0	0	0	0	0
2010/11	1	1	86	4	0	4	*	4

* Not estimated

1.2 IUU catch

6. Information on IUU fishing indicated that approximately 98 tonnes of *Dissostichus* spp. were taken during IUU fishing in Division 58.4.3a in 2004/05, and there have been no further reports of sightings or landings related to IUU fishing (Table 1). The IUU catch of *Dissostichus* spp. in 2010/11 was not estimated (SC-CAMLR-XXIX paragraph 6.5).

1.3 Size distribution of catches

7. Most *D. eleginoides* caught in the fishery ranged from 50 to 150 cm in length (Figure 2). A bimodal distribution was observed in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07, with broad modes at approximately 50–80 and 90–130 cm. In 2007/08, a single mode was observed, at approximately 50–80 cm. In 2008/09 and 2010/11, there was a broad mode at approximately 70–130 cm.




Figure 2: Catch-weighted length frequencies for *Dissostichus eleginoides* in Division 58.4.3a (source: observer and fine-scale data).

2. Stocks and areas

8. No data are available on the stock structure of fish in this fishery.

3. Parameter estimation

3.1 Observations

9. Vessels operating in this fishery are required to conduct fishery-based research in accordance with CM 41-01. This includes the collection of detailed catch, effort and biological data (Annex 41-01/A), the setting of research lines (Annex 41-01/B) and participation in the tagging program (Annex 41-01/C).

10. Vessels, on first entry into an SSRU, are required to make 10 research longline hauls. The requirement for a further 10 research hauls during the course of fishing was removed in 2008 and since 2008/09 the starting position of research hauls has been allocated by the Secretariat. The number of research hauls reported in fine-scale data are summarised in Table 2.

11. Vessels are also required to tag and release *Dissostichus* spp. at a rate of three fish per tonne of green weight caught and a limit of 500 fish tagged per vessel applied until the end of 2006/07; the required tagging rate prior to 2007/08 was one fish per tonne of green weight caught. A total of 480 *D. eleginoides* have been tagged and released and 10 fish have been recaptured in that division (Table 3, Figure 3).

Table 2: Research (R) and commercial (C) longline hauls reported by vessels operating in the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a (source: fine-scale data).

Season	Flag State	Vessel name	Number of hauls		
			R	C	Total
2004/05	Australia	<i>Avro Chieftain</i>	10		10
	Korea, Republic of	<i>Bonanza No. 707</i>	10	10	20
	Spain	<i>Arneta</i>	20	6	26
		<i>Galaecia</i>	34	79	113
2005/06	Spain	<i>Galaecia</i>	33	95	128
2006/07	Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	12	4	16
	Spain	<i>Tronio</i>	20	4	24
2007/08	Uruguay	<i>Banzare</i>	8	5	13
2008/09	Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	10	49	59
2009/10	No fishing				
2010/11	Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	9	0	9

Table 3: Number of individuals of *Dissostichus* spp. tagged and released (a) and tagging rates (b) reported by vessels operating in the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a since 2006/07, and total number of tagged fish released and recaptured (c). (Source: observer data and catch and effort reports)

(a) Number of individuals of *Dissostichus* spp. tagged and released. The number of *D. eleginoides* is indicated in brackets.

Flag State	Vessel name	Season				
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	4 (4)		113 (113)		14 (14)
Spain	<i>Galaecia</i>					
	<i>Tronio</i>	5 (5)				
Uruguay	<i>Banzare</i>		41 (41)			

(b) Tagging rate (number of fish tagged per tonne of green weight caught) of *Dissostichus* spp.

Flag State	Vessel name	Season				
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	1.8		3.7		3.9
Spain	<i>Galaecia</i>					
	<i>Tronio</i>	2.2				
Uruguay	<i>Banzare</i>		4.7			
Required rate		1	3	3	3	3

(c) Total number of tagged *Dissostichus* spp. released and recaptured in Division 58.4.3a.

Season	Number tagged and released			Number recaptured		
	<i>D. eleginoides</i>	<i>D. mawsoni</i>	Total	<i>D. eleginoides</i>	<i>D. mawsoni</i>	Total
2004/05	199	0	199			
2005/06	104	0	104	6	0	6
2006/07	9	0	9			
2007/08	41	0	41	2	0	2
2008/09	113	0	113	2	0	2
2009/10	No fishing					
2010/11	14	0	14			
Total	480	0	480	10	0	10




Figure 3: Plot of tag recaptures of *D. eleginoides* in Divisions 58.4.1, 58.4.2 and 58.4.3b recorded between 2003/04 and 2009/10. 'T' indicates the release location and 'R' indicates the recapture location.

12. In 2010, the Commission required each vessel catching more than 2 tonnes of *Dissostichus* spp. in an exploratory fishery to achieve a minimum tag overlap statistic of 50% in 2010/11 and of 60% from 2011/12 onwards (Annex 41-01/C). The vessel fishing in Division 58.4.3a in 2010/11 achieved a tag overlap statistic greater than 50% (84%, Table 4).

Table 4: Time series of the tag-overlap statistic (CM 41-01) for *Dissostichus mawsoni* and *D. eleginoides* tagged in Division 58.4.3a. The statistic was implemented in 2010/11, and comparative values were calculated for previous seasons. Values were not calculated for total catches of less than 2 tonnes (*) and length data were aggregated by 10 cm length intervals.

Species	Flag State	Vessel name	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
<i>D. mawsoni</i>	Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	*		*		
<i>D. eleginoides</i>	Japan	<i>Shinsei Maru No. 3</i>	*		45		84
	Spain	<i>Tronio</i>	*				

3.2 Fixed parameter values

13. None available for this fishery.

4. Stock assessment

14. Progress on assessing the exploratory fishery in Division 58.4.3a was presented in WG-SAM-08/5 and a summary was provided in SC-CAMLR-XXVII, Annex 7, paragraphs 3.6 to 3.8. WG-SAM recommended that WG-FSA use the methods described in this paper to provide management advice for the *Dissostichus* spp. fishery in this division (SC-CAMLR-XXVII, Annex 7, paragraph 4.4).

15. The Working Group considered that there was less uncertainty about using the tagging information in Division 58.4.3a compared with Divisions 58.4.1 and 58.4.2. The reason for this was that, given the number of releases and catch levels (both legal and IUU), if the observed number of tags was an underestimate (e.g. there should have been 10 recaptures rather than the five observed) then there would be a very large chance that the population in the division over the period of the tag experiment would have to have been effectively removed by fishing. The Working Group agreed that this is not the case and this provided some confidence in using the tagging data to estimate population size in this division.

16. The preliminary stock assessment detailed in WG-SAM-08/5 employed a biomass dynamic surplus production model to assess the status of the stock, using the release (199) and recapture (5) data for 2005 and 2006 respectively, as well as legal and illegal catches for this division. Resultant stock size estimates were then used to estimate long-term yields (using the CCAMLR decision rules) under four different assumptions about the additional uncertainty in future stock dynamics, beyond that already accounted for in the stock assessment. This gave a range of potential long-term yields: 113, 105, 103 and 86 tonnes, which encompassed a wide-range of future stock dynamic uncertainty assumptions (two recapture probability models (binomial and normal) and three different values for future process error).

17. In 2008 the Working Group agreed that the catch limit of 250 tonnes was not a sustainable catch level. A catch limit in the range of 86 to 113 tonnes was proposed. The Scientific Committee endorsed this advice and the Commission set a catch limit of 86 tonnes for 2008/09.

5. By-catch of fish and invertebrates

5.1 By-catch removals

18. Catches of by-catch species groups (macrourids, rajids and other species) reported in fine-scale data, their respective catch limits, and number of rajids cut from lines and released alive are summarised in Table 5. The by-catch in this fishery consists predominantly of rajids (up to 17 tonnes per season). Catches of macrourids have been reported up to 2 tonnes per season.

Table 5: Catch history for by-catch species (macrourids, rajids and other species), catch limits and number of rajids released alive in Division 58.4.3a. Catch limits are for the whole fishery (see CM 33-03 for details). (Source: fine-scale data)

Season	Macrourids		Rajids			Other species	
	Catch limit (tonnes)	Reported catch (tonnes)	Catch limit (tonnes)	Reported catch (tonnes)	Number released	Catch limit (tonnes)	Reported catch (tonnes)
2003/04	26	0	50	0	-	20	0
2004/05	26	2	50	17	985	20	2
2005/06	26	1	50	7	-	20	1
2006/07	26	<1	50	<1	-	20	1
2007/08	26	<1	50	2	-	20	<1
2008/09	26	2	50	2	57	20	2
2009/10	26	0	50	0	-	20	0
2010/11	26	<1	50	<1	-	20	<1

5.2 Assessment of impacts on affected populations

19. None available for this fishery.

5.3 Identification of levels of risk

20. None available for this fishery.

5.4 Mitigation measures

21. In 2008, the Commission agreed to the Year-of-the-Skate, and the protocol in CCAMLR-XXVII, paragraph 4.55, was implemented.

22. In 2009, the Commission agreed that the Year-of-the-Skate should be extended to 2009/10 in order to allow for sufficient data to be collected for preliminary assessments to be made in the future (see SC-CAMLR-XXIX, Annex 8, paragraphs 6.14 to 6.21).

23. During WG-FSA-10 it was concluded that the Year-of-the-Skate had been a success overall and had met its objectives to enhance data collection and improve tagging in order to develop assessments (SC-CAMLR-XXVI, Annex 5, paragraphs 6.34 and 6.35). Based on conclusions reported in WG-FSA-10/25 for data in Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 and review of data across all exploratory areas and divisions during the meeting, the Working Group concluded that data collection rates for skates could return to standard levels for these species in 2010/11 until further notice, and the mandatory skate tagging requirements could be removed from the relevant conservation measures. However, the requirement for all skates to be brought on board or alongside the hauler to be correctly identified, scanned for tags and for their condition to be assessed should be made mandatory, and the Working Group recommended that CM 33-03 be revised accordingly. Continued scanning for tags by crew and observers is imperative to enable updates to be made to preliminary assessments of skates in the future.

6. By-catch of birds and mammals

6.1 By-catch removals

24. There have been no observed seabird mortalities for Division 58.4.3a (Table 6).

Table 6: Seabird by-catch limit, observed mortality rate and total estimated mortality of seabird by-catch in Subarea 58.4, including Division 58.4.3a.

Season	By-catch limit (number of birds)	Mortality rate (birds/thousand hooks)	Total estimated mortality (number of birds)
2003/04	3*	0	0
2004/05	3*	0	0
2005/06	3*	0	0
2006/07	3*	0	0
2007/08	3*	0	0
2008/09	3*	0	0
2009/10	3*	0	0
2010/11	3*	0	0

* Per vessel during daytime setting.

25. No marine mammal interactions or mortalities were observed in 2010/11.

26. In 2011, as in previous years, WG-IMAF agreed the risk level of seabirds in this fishery in Division 58.4.3a is category 3 (average) (SC-CAMLR-XXX, Annex 8).

6.2 Mitigation measures

27. CM 25-02 applies to this fishery and in recent years has been linked to an exemption for night setting in CM 24-02 and subject to a seabird by-catch limit. Offal and other discharges are regulated under CM 26-01.

7. Ecosystem implications/effects

28. No evaluation available for this fishery.

8. Harvest controls and management advice

8.1 Conservation measures

29. The limits on the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a are defined in CM 41-06. The limits in force and the Working Group's advice to the Scientific Committee for the forthcoming season are summarised in Table 7.

Table 7: Limits on the exploratory fishery for *Dissostichus* spp. in Division 58.4.3a in force (CM 41-06) and advice to the Scientific Committee for 2011/12.

Element	Limit in force	Advice for 2011/12
Access	No more than one vessel per country at any one time.	Carry forward
Catch limit	Precautionary catch limit for <i>Dissostichus</i> spp. was 86 tonnes outside areas of national jurisdiction.	Carry forward
Season	1 May to 31 August, with fishing permitted outside the prescribed season provided that each vessel demonstrated its capacity to comply with the requirements for longline weighting outlined in CM 24-02.	Same period and conditions
By-catch	Regulated by CM 33-03.	Carry forward
Mitigation	In accordance with CM 25-02, except paragraph 4 if requirements of CM 24-02 are met. Limit of three (3) seabirds per vessel fishing outside the prescribed season.	Carry forward Carry forward
Observers	At least one scientific observer appointed in accordance with the CCAMLR Scheme of International Scientific Observation.	Carry forward
Data	Daily and five-day catch and effort reporting Haul-by-haul catch and effort data Biological data reported by the CCAMLR scientific observer.	Carry forward Carry forward Carry forward
Research	Fishery-based research in accordance with CM 41-01, including the collection of detailed catch, effort and biological data (Annex 41-01/A), setting of research hauls (Annex 41-01/B) and tagging (Annex 41-01/C). Toothfish tagged at a rate of at least three fish per tonne green weight caught.	Carry forward Carry forward
Environmental protection	Regulated by CMs 22-06, 22-07, 22-08 and 26-01	Carry forward

8.2 Management advice

30. The Working Group agreed that it could provide no new advice on catch limits for this subarea and noted the recommendations for increasing the research requirements in this fishery identified in the main report, paragraphs 6.75 to 6.80.